Stantec Analytical Validation Checklist

Re	port	No.	SDG#ASY26

Χ

Project Name: Amtrak Wilmington	Project Number: 213402048
Stantec Validator: Linda Goad	Laboratory: Eurofins/Lancaster Laboratory
Date Validated: 10/16/2018	Laboratory Project Number: 1396681
Sample Start-End Date:06/09/2013	Laboratory Report Date: 07/18/2013

Parameters Validated: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) by EPA SW-846 8082 w/ microwave soil extraction and moisture.

Percent Solids by SM 2540 G

Samples Validated:  $\underline{SB-63}$  (6.0-8.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-63}$  (8.0-10.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-63}$  (10.0-12.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-63}$  (12.0-13.8) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-67}$  (6.0-8.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-67}$  (8.0-10.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-67}$  (10.0-12.3) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-67}$  (10.0-12.3) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-68}$  (10.0-12.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-69}$  (10.0-12.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-69}$  (10.0-12.0) (composite soil);  $\underline{SB-69}$  (10.0-13.5) (composite soil).

## **VALIDATION CRITERIA CHECK**

Validation Flags Applicable to this Review:

appropriate temperature?

- **U** The analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- **J-** Result is estimated quantity but the result may be biased low.
- **UJ** The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.
- **NJ** The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value represents its approximate concentration.
- **B** The analyte was detected in the method, field, and/or trip blank.
- **R** The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

	, ,			
1.	Were all the analyses requested for the samples submitted with each COC completed by the lab?	Yes <b>X</b>	No	
Con	nments:			
2.	Did the laboratory identify any non-conformances related to the analytical result?	Yes	No <b>X</b>	
Con	nments: None			
3.	Were sample Chain-of-Custody forms complete?	Yes	No <b>X</b>	
this amb	Comments: Sample receipt log indicates "BS-SB-5 sample time = 1050," this sample is not included in this lab report. No corrective action required. Sample receipt log indicates that the lab "received 2 ambers for samples <u>EB06092013</u> and <u>EB06102013</u> ." These samples are not included in the lab report. No corrective action required.			
4.	Were samples received in good condition and at the	Yes	No	

Comments:  Based on the laboratory sample receipt form, the samples were received by custody seals.	the laboratory wit	hout
5. Were sample holding times met?	Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:		
6. Were correct concentration units reported?	Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:		
7. Were detections found in laboratory blank samples?	Yes	No <b>X</b>
Comments: None		
8. Were detections found in field blank, equipment rinse blank, and/or trip blank samples?	Yes	No <b>X</b>
Comments: Not applicable, no blanks included in this lab report.		
9. Were instrument calibrations within method criteria? NA X	Yes	No
Comments:		
Not Applicable, Level II data validation.		
10. Were surrogate recoveries within control limits?	Yes	No <b>X</b>
Comments:  PCBs: The percent recoveries (%R) for the PCB surrogate tetrachloro-m-xy surrogate decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) were below the Delaware Department (DNREC) Standard Operating Procedures for Chemical Analytical Programs Substances Cleanup Act (SOPCAP, Feb. 26, 2015) control limits in sample PCBs were qualified J- (estimated with a low bias); nondetected PCBs were reporting limit).  Reason code: SUR	of Natural Resour S Under the Hazar SB-68(14.0-16.0)	ces dous Detected
11. Were laboratory control sample(s) (LCS/LCSD) sample recoveries within control limits?	Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:		
12. Were matrix spike (MS/MSD) recoveries within control NA limits?	Yes X	No
Comments:		
13. Were RPDs within control limits?	Yes <b>X</b>	No
Comments:		
14. Were dilutions required on any samples?	Yes	No <b>X</b>
Comments:		

15. Were Tentatively	Identified Compounds (TIC) present?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments: TIC not rec	quested.			
16. Were organic sys	tem performance criteria met?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applica	able, Level II data validation.			
17. Were GC/MS inte	rnal standards within method criteria?	NA X	Yes	No
Comments: Not Applic	able, Leve II data validation.			
18. Were inorganic sy	stem performance criteria met?	NA <b>X</b>	Yes	No
Comments:				
precision (RPD) of the	uplicates collected? If so, discuss the results.	;	Yes <b>X</b>	No
Primary Sample ID SB-67(6.0-8.0)	<u>Duplicate Sample ID</u> SB-DUP-10			
	was detected in the primary field san for PCB-1260; therefore, no data we		e field duplicate.	An RPD
20. Were at least 10 p the Electronic Data Del	percent of the hard copy results comp iverable Results?	ared to	Yes No X	Initials KEF
Comments:				
21. Other?			Yes	No X
Comments:				
PRECISION, ACC	CURACY, METHOD COMPLIANCE	AND COMPLET	ENESS ASSESSI	MENT
Precision:	Acceptable X	Unacceptable	Initials LEG	
Comments: Based on Is	aboratory spike data for LCS.		•	
Sensitivity:	Acceptable X	Unacceptable	Initials LEG	
Comments:			•	
Accuracy:	Acceptable X	Unacceptable	Initials LEG	
Comments: Based on la	aboratory spike data for LCS.			

Representativeness:	Acceptable <b>X</b>	Unacceptable	Initials LEG
Comments:			_
Method Compliance:	Acceptable <b>X</b>	Unacceptable	Initials LEG
Comments:			_
Completeness:	Acceptable <b>X</b>	Unacceptable	Initials LEG
Comments:			